1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 10 11 12 13 NETWORK PROTECTION SCIENCES, CASE NO. 3:12-CV-01106-WHA LLC, 14 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING Plaintiff, FORTINET, INC.'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE DOCUMENTS 15 VS. UNDER SEAL IN SUPPORT OF FORTINET, INC.'S REPLY IN SUPPORT 16 FORTINET, INC., OF ITS MOTION FOR SUMMARY 17 **JUDGMENT** Defendant. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

04880.52079/5483881.1

1	PROPOSED ORDER
2	Before the Court is Defendant Fortinet, Inc.'s ("Fortinet") Administrative Motion
3	to File Documents Under Seal in Support of Fortinet, Inc.'s Reply in support of its Motion for
4	Summary Judgment, requesting that Exhibit 14 to the Reply Declaration of John M. Neukom in
5	support of Fortinet's Reply in support of its Motion for Summary Judgment be filed under seal.
6	After considering Fortinet's motion and supporting evidence, and it appearing to
7	the Court that good cause exists for the granting of the motion, Fortinet's motion is hereby
8	GRANTED.
9	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the following document, which has been
10	lodged with this Court, be filed under seal:
11	Exhibit 14 to the Reply Declaration of John M. Neukom in support of Fortinet's
12	Reply in support of its Motion for Summary Judgment.
13	
14	
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.
16	Dated: September 12, 2013.
17	Hon. William H. Alsup United States District Court Judge
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	